

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,558	. 02/13/2002	Reiner Bindig	NY-CERA 237-US	8412
24972	7590 05/01/2003			
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 666 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER	
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2834	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Anti- 2	10/075,558	BINDIG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MALLING DATE AND	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 i	February 2002 .	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pr <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-25</u> is/are pending in the application	nn -	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	mi nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 21-25</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2002</u> is/are: a)∑		e Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abevance. Se	e 37 CFR 1 85(a)
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprov	ed by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	,
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. ,	· · · · · ·
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n No.
3.	ty documents have been received	in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	risional application has been recei	ved.
Attachment(s)	priority dilder 55 0.5.0. 99 120 8	ma/or 727,
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>103</u>		PTO-413) Paper No(s) cent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 403

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (US 2001/0047796). Yamada et al. show (fig. 1) a piezoceramic multilayer actuator comprising an active region (111), said active region (111) further comprising inner electrodes (21, 22) led out alternately at a surface of said actuator, wherein, for parallel connection, said inner electrodes of identical polarity (21 and 22) of said active region (111) are connected to respective outer electrodes (31, 32), said outer electrodes (31, 32) being disposed on opposite sides of said actuator; electrode-free piezoelectrically inactive regions (113) further comprising a head region and a foot region; and a transitional region (112) having shrinkage and expansion properties lying between the shrinkage and the expansion properties of said active (111) and inactive (113) regions, said transitional regions (112) interposed between said active region (111) and said respective inactive head and foot regions (113).

Claims 13-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dam et al. (WO 92/06511) Dam et al. show (fig. 2) a piezoceramic multilayer actuator

Art Unit: 2834

comprising an active region (210), said active region (210) further comprising inner electrodes (204) led out alternately at a surface of said actuator, wherein, for parallel connection, said inner electrodes of identical polarity (every other electrode) of said active region (210) are connected to respective outer electrodes (212, 212'), said outer electrodes (212, 212') being disposed on opposite sides of said actuator; electrode-free piezoelectrically inactive regions (202) further comprising a head region and a foot region; and a transitional region (206, 208) having shrinkage and expansion properties lying between the shrinkage and the expansion properties of said active (210) and inactive (202) regions, said transitional regions (206, 208) interposed between said active region (210) and said respective inactive head and foot regions (202).

Said transitional regions (206, 208), the electrode-to-electrode spacing between the inner electrodes increases in proximity to said inactive regions.

Said increase in spacing of said inner electrodes starts from the spacing of said inner electrodes in said active region and is effected stepwise in a sequence of natural numbers. Note that if 210 thickness, which is noted as .508 mm is arbitrarily designated as equivalent to two, then, the 208 and 206 (.762 mm and 1.016 mm) layers are equivalent to three and four.

Said increase in the spacing of said inner electrodes from said transition region through said head region (202) or foot region (202) starts from the spacing of said inner electrodes (210) in said active region (210) and is effected stepwise in a geometric progression. For example if the thickness of each 210 layer is arbitrarily designated as

Art Unit: 2834

1 layer thick, then the thickness of layer $208 = 1 + (n \times 0.5)$ where n =1 and layer $206 = 1 + (2n \times .5)$.

The number of steps for increasing the spacing between said electrodes correlates to the differences between the shrinkage and expansion properties between said active region and at least one of said inactive regions. Note that as Dam et al. show the claimed invention such a property is inherent in it. Note also that this is ultimately a goal of the invention and its recitation does not further limit the claimed structural features, thus as it now stands, this recitation doesn't carry an patentable weight.

The maximum spacing between the last two electrodes in said transitional region (208, 206) is up to 2 mm.

Said maximum spacing is 0.1 to 1.0 mm. Note that Dam et al. show their maximum thickness as 1.016 mm with a tolerance of \pm 0.013 mm which range provides for an effective thickness of about 1.0 mm.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17 and 21-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to show a multilayer actuator wherein an increase in the thickness of inner electrodes in a transitional region between an active region and an inactive region is

Art Unit: 2834

effected stepwise according to a logarithmic scale. Additionally, the prior art fails to show or fairly suggest respective transitional regions consisting of modified piezoceramic material, such that the shrinkage and expansion properties of the material lies within the shrinkage and the expansion properties of the active region.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited shows structural features similar to that claimed by the Applicants.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (703) 308-1628.

tmd

April 29, 2003

29.00

Page 5